

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,506	11/12/2003	Todd K. Rosengart	3183-002-01	7219
33432	7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C.			JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
SUITE 102	400 HOLIDAY COURT SUITE 102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WARRENTO	WARRENTON, VA 20186			
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,506	ROSENGART, TODD K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 May 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-18 and 20-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-18 and 20-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2006 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims cite optional arrangements of the first and second collapsible plates and the cutting device thereby precluding a definitive structure with clear structural relationship between elements. This is essentially defining multiple species within a single claim.

The indicated allowability of claim 25 is withdrawn in view of the reconsideration of references to Sequin and Woolfson et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Drawings

The receipt of new figure 6 is acknowledged. The figure is objected to as the collapsible plate (40) appears to be located on the guide, rather than on either of the elongated instruments as disclosed.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the embodiment with the collapsible plate extending from the outer surface of the first elongated instrument to the inner surface of the second elongated instrument (claim 11) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures

must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-18, 20-24 and 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 cites the cutting device as movably connected to the first elongated instrument or to the second elongated instrument rendering the claim indefinite. The cutter placement represents different species of the invention. The first and second collapsible plates likewise are associated with either of the first elongated instrument or second elongated instrument yielding additional unique species.

Claims 8, 11 and 12 are indefinite as the placement of the collapsible plate in two different configurations results in a distinct species for each.

Claim 20 is indefinite as the placement of the non-mechanical cutting device in two different configurations results in a distinct species for each.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,506

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 21 is indefinite for citing the second elongated instrument includes the non-mechanical cutting device and for cited the non-mechanical cutting device as separately advanced over the second instrument, yielding distinct species of an apparatus. The first and second collapsible plates likewise are associated with either of the first elongated instrument or second elongated instrument yielding additional unique species.

Claim 22 is indefinite as the placement of the non-mechanical cutting device in two different configurations results in a distinct species for each.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,830,584 to Sequin in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2004/0034380 to Woolfson et al. Sequin discloses a method for removing and replacement of a heart valve by positioning a device with expandable cutting members (interpreted as plates) on either side of a heart valve, balloon members (hemostatic object) are inflated within the vessel and a new valve is deployed (Col. 6, lines 7-35). Sequin does not disclose a non-mechanical cutting means. Woolfson et al. teach a method for resecting and replacing an aortic valve that discloses the valve debridement tool (cutter) may comprise a laser, an ultrasonic device, a rotary drill bit, an auger, or any other mechanism that appropriately disrupts tissue (paragraph 0293). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a laser as taught by Woolfson et al. in the method of Sequin as an alternative cutting means as clearly suggested by Woolfson et al.

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Henry M. Johnson, III Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739